

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,162	01/07/2004	Phillip D. Servio	PS-1	7464
John P. Woold	7590 03/27/200 ridge, Esq.	EXAMINER ·		
Suite 110			MCAVOY, ELLEN M	
535 Lipoa Parkway Kihei, HI 96753			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1764	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/754,162	SERVIO ET AL.			
emocricular cumulary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ellen M. McAvoy	e correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. ENED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	∴				
·	,—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rece	ivea.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/754,162

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinemann et al (6,180,843).

Heinemann et al ["Heinemann"] disclose a method for producing gas hydrates which includes a reactor vessel having a fluidized or expanded bed reaction zone. The reactor vessel has an upper portion and a lower portion, wherein a cross-sectional area of the upper portion is larger than the cross-sectional area of the lower portion. Water is introduced into the reactor vessel and a hydrate forming gas such as natural gas, methane, ethane, propane, butane and carbon dioxide is introduced, under an elevated pressure, into the lower portion of the reactor vessel. Heinemann teaches that preferably the water and gas flow in a countercurrent manner through the reactor and into the fluidized or expanded bed reactor zone. Heinemann teaches that some of the injected water forms seed hydrate particles, while the rest coats already formed particles surrounding the atomizing nozzle. Heinemann teaches that unreacted hydrate-forming gas may be withdrawn from the upper portion of the reactor vessel and recycled back into the fluidized or expanded reaction bed. Heinemann teaches that after the gas hydrates are removed from the reactor vessel, they can be transported to any desired location, e.g., to

Art Unit: 1764

storage or to transport. The examiner is of the position that the process of Heinemann appears to meet the limitations of most of applicants' claims. Applicants' invention differs by introducing a recycle of the fragmented gas hydrate particles back into the reaction chamber. However, such a step appears to be a routine process step obvious to the skilled artisan if additional gas hydrate is needed in the reaction chamber.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ellen MMcAvoy

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy

March 24, 2007